Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/811,761	WOBBROCK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR	2624	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Response to Non-final</u>			
(1) <u>SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Mr. Edward L. Pencoske (Reg. No. 29,688)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>14 January 2010</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: All			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner suggested claim amendments that would place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative agreed. See Examiner's Amendment Claims.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
/Sath V Perungavoor/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624			
	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)